
From: Carlin, Jayne
To: Carlin, Jayne
Sent: 2/2/2015 2:59:05 PM
Subject: FW: Oregon CZARA Decision in the News
Attachments: NWEA Press Release 1-30-15.pdf

From: Waye, Don
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2015 12:12 PM
To: Hall, Lynda; Holsman, Marianne; Psyk, Christine; allison.castellan@noaa.gov; joelle.gore@noaa.gov; Carlin, Jayne; Henning, Alan; MacIntyre, Mark
Subject: RE: Oregon CZARA Decision in the News

It seems that NWEA's own press release was the source for the inexplicable \$1.3M figure and some of the other quotes in the O. See:
<http://northwestenvironmentaladvocates.org/2015/01/federal-agencies-disapprove-oregon-coastal-nonpoint-pollution-program/>

Still not sure where the O got the wildly incorrect figure of only 10 states with approved coastal nonpoint programs...

From: Waye, Don
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2015 2:14 PM
To: Hall, Lynda; Holsman, Marianne; Psyk, Christine; allison.castellan@noaa.gov; joelle.gore@noaa.gov; Carlin, Jayne; Henning, Alan; MacIntyre, Mark
Subject: RE: Oregon CZARA Decision in the News

They've actually upped it to an inexplicable \$1.3M figure...

From: Hall, Lynda
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2015 2:03 PM
To: Waye, Don; Holsman, Marianne; Psyk, Christine; allison.castellan@noaa.gov; joelle.gore@noaa.gov; Carlin, Jayne; Henning, Alan; MacIntyre, Mark
Subject: Re: Oregon CZARA Decision in the News
Importance: High

UGH.
After all that effort the Oregonian is still leading with 'the state will lose \$1.2M in penalties.'

From: Waye, Don
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2015 1:58 PM
To: Holsman, Marianne; Psyk, Christine; allison.castellan@noaa.gov; Hall, Lynda; joelle.gore@noaa.gov; Carlin, Jayne; Henning, Alan; MacIntyre, Mark
Subject: Oregon CZARA Decision in the News

All,

Here's the story from the AP reporter, but it's so short, I don't know if there's a longer version that might get published somewhere else.

<http://www.sfchronicle.com/news/science/article/Regulators-Oregon-logging-rules-dont-protect-6051532.php>
<http://www.khq.com/story/27985991/regulators-oregon-logging-rules-dont-protect-clean-water>

And here's one from the Oregonian, with a huge factual error in the last paragraph, and arguably smaller errors

throughout:

http://www.oregonlive.com/environment/index.ssf/2015/01/feds_reject_oregons_coastal_po.html

"To date - 19 years past the deadline - 10 states' plans have received approval."

Actually, 22 states & territories have received full approval.

Don Waye

U.S. EPA - Nonpoint Source Control Branch

Phone: 202-566-1170